

Harmonic-Superspace Integrability of $N = 1, D = 6$ Supersymmetric Gauge Theory

B.M.Zupnik *

*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics ,
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
Dubna, Moscow Region, 141980, Russia*

Abstract

We consider the harmonic-superspace (HS) system of equations that contains superfield SYM_6^1 constraints and equations of motion. A dynamical equation in the special A -frame is equivalent to the zero-curvature equation corresponding to a covariant conservation of HS -analyticity. Properties of a general SYM_6^1 solution for the gauge group $SU(2)$ are studied in the simplest harmonic gauge. An analogous approach to the integrability interpretation of SYM - SG -matter systems in HS is discussed briefly.

* E-mail: zupnik@thsun1.jinr.dubna.su

Integrability interpretations of the supersymmetric $N = 3, D = 4$ gauge theory SYM_4^3 have been considered in the framework of twistor-harmonic superspace methods [1, 2]. The on-shell superfield constraints of SYM_4^3 can be reduced to integrability conditions for harmonized (or light-like) spinor covariant derivatives in the harmonic (twistor) superspace (HS). Generalized analyticity relations between covariant spinor and harmonic derivatives arise also in the harmonic formalism [2]. We shall call a classical field theory *the harmonic-superspace integrable* theory if the corresponding dynamic equations are equivalent to the zero-curvature equations in HS . The harmonic variables play a role of auxiliary (spectral) parameters for these theories.

The structure of harmonic superspaces for the HS -integrable theories SYM_4^3 , SYM_{10}^1 [3, 4] and SYM_5^4 [5] is very complicated. The simplest harmonic $SU(2)/U(1)$ formalism allows to construct the explicit solutions for the self-dual Yang-Mills [6] and super-Yang-Mills [7] theories and also for the non-self-dual 3-dimensional SYM_3^6 -theory [8].

We propose the HS -integrability interpretation of the supersymmetric $N = 1, D = 6$ gauge theory SYM_6^1 connected via a dimensional reduction with the SYM_4^2 -theory. The HS -formalism of SYM_6^1 has been considered in Ref[4, 11] by analogy with the original works on HS [9, 10]. Our treatment results in a reformulation of the SYM_6^1 superfield constraints and equations of motion as an analytic zero-curvature equation plus a linear solvable constraint in HS . The HS -approach produces also an infinite number of conservation laws in SYM_6^1 . We hope that the analogous HS -integrability of SYM_4^2 can help to understand the remarkable quantum properties of this theory [12].

The off-shell superfield constraints for SYM_6^1 -covariant derivatives $\nabla_a^i = D_a^i + A_a^i(z)$ in the central basis (CB) have the following form [13, 14]

$$\{\nabla_a^i, \nabla_b^k\} + \{\nabla_a^k, \nabla_b^i\} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where D_a^i is a plane spinor derivative and $A_a^i(z)$ is a CB -gauge spinor superfield. (We consider the $SO(5, 1)$ -spinor indices $a, b \dots = 1 \dots 4$ and the $SU(2)$ -spinor indices $i, k \dots = 1, 2$ and use $N = 1, D = 6$ superspace coordinates $z = x^{ab}, \theta_i^a$.) The harmonic formalism with $SU(2)/U(1)$ harmonics $u_i^\pm$ leads to the HS -integrability interpretation of these constraints

$$\{\nabla_a^+, \nabla_b^+\} = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_a^+ = u_i^+ \nabla_a^i = u_i^+ h^{-1} D_a^i h \quad (2)$$

where h is an off-shell 'bridge' matrix [9].

The SYM_6^1 superfield equation of motion in CB has a dimension $d = -2$ in the units of length

$$\nabla_a^i W^{ak} + \nabla_a^k W^{ai} = 0, \quad W^{ak} = (i/12) \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{jl} [\nabla_b^k, \{\nabla_c^j, \nabla_d^l\}] \quad (3)$$

where W^{ai} is a covariant superfield containing the field-strength multiplet of SYM_6^1 . This independent nonlinear equation is the 2-d part of the SYM_6^1 -system of equations.

A harmonic (twistor) transform from CB to the analytic basis (AB) of SYM_6^1 can be defined in terms of the bridge matrix h . Consider the basic $d = 0$ harmonic equation of the V -frame in AB [9]

$$(\partial^{++} + V^{++})h(z, u) = \nabla^{++}h = 0 \quad (4)$$

where a harmonic derivative ∂^{++} is used. The off-shell prepotential $V^{++}(\zeta, u)$ lives in the analytic $N = 1, D = 6$ superspace AHS_6^1 . The natural coordinates of AHS_6^1 are $\zeta = (x_A^{ab}, \theta_+^c)$ [4, 11] but one can use the central coordinates z, u , too.

The HS action, basic constraints and equation of motion for SYM_6^1 have been written in Ref[4]. The basic V -frame off-shell constraints are:

1) The harmonic-zero-curvature (HZC) equation ($d=0$) that contains the harmonic connections V^{++}, A^{--}

$$\partial_A^{++} A^{--} - \partial_A^{--} V^{++} + [V^{++}, A^{--}] = 0 \quad (5)$$

and can be treated as an equation for $A^{--}(V^{++})$. One can use the perturbative or nonperturbative solution of this integrable equation [4, 8].

2) The 'kinematic' V -analyticity constraint [9]

$$[\nabla_a^+, \nabla^{++}] = D_a^+ V^{++} = 0, \quad D_a^+ = u_i^+ D_a^i \quad (6)$$

3) The conventional constraint for the spinor connection A_a^- [4]

$$[\nabla^{--}, \nabla_a^+] = \nabla_a^- = D_a^- + A_a^- = D_a^- - D_a^+ A^{--} \quad (7)$$

4) The initial CB constraint (2) transforms to the trivial AB constraint [9]

$$\{\nabla_a^+, \nabla_b^+\} = \{D_a^+, D_b^+\} = 0 \quad (8)$$

Consider the SYM_6^1 equation of motion in the V -frame

$$F^{++} = (1/4) D_a^+ W^{a+}(V) = (1/24) \varepsilon^{abcd} D_a^+ D_b^+ D_c^+ D_d^+ A^{--}(V) = 0 \quad (9)$$

Stress that $A^{--}(V)$ is a nonlinear harmonic functional of V^{++} so it is difficult to analyze this equation directly in the V -frame.

Let us introduce a new A -frame which uses $A^{--}(z, u)$ as a basic on-shell superfield. The whole A -system of SYM_6^1 -equations for covariant derivatives with $d \geq -2$ is identical to the corresponding V -system, however we change *the interpretation, the basic set of equation and the auxiliary-field structure* of the harmonic formalism in the new frame. A basic A -bridge equation contains the covariant derivative $\nabla^{--} = \partial^{--} + A^{--}$ (see below), and the bridge equation (4) become a secondary equation. We preserve the representation (2) for the spinor CB -connection, the conventional constraint (7) and the basic relation of AB (8). The HZC -equation (5) in the A -frame is treated as an integrable equation for the connection $V^{++}(A^{--})$. Harmonic equations of a dimension $d = 0$ do not guarantee the conservation of analyticity. The kinematic analyticity equation (6) of the V -frame transforms to a dynamical equation of the A -frame for the solution $V^{++}(A^{--})$ of the HZC -equation. One can use also the equivalent dynamical analyticity condition for the covariant derivatives ∇_a^- and ∇^{--} . The analyticity equations play a role of the HS -integrability conditions of the SYM_6^1 -theory.

The nonlinear in V^{++} equation (9) corresponds to a simple linear $d = -2$ constraint of the A -frame. This constraint has the following general solution:

$$A^{--}(z, u) = D_a^+ A^{a(-3)}(z, u) \quad (10)$$

where $A^{a(-3)}$ is the on-shell SYM_6^1 prepotential. The gauge transformation of this prepotential contains a term producing the standard AB -gauge transformation $\delta A^{--} = \nabla^{--} \lambda$ and an additional term with the spinor derivative of a general symmetric spinor.

The bridge matrix $h_A = h(A^{--})$ of the A -frame is a solution of the following harmonic equation

$$\nabla^{--} h_A = (\partial_A^{--} + D_a^+ A^{a(-3)}) h_A = 0 \quad (11)$$

This equation on the sphere $SU(2)/U(1)$ is a harmonic part of the linear problem for the HS -integrable SYM_6^1 -system of equations. A key point of the harmonic approach is an integrability

of the harmonic bridge and HZC equations. We shall consider below an explicit solution for the $SU(2)$ gauge group .

Thus, a transition to the new frame simplifies significantly the SYM_6^1 -system of equations and allows to solve the equation with $d = -2$. Now we can introduce a dynamical zero-curvature equation (AZC -equation) of the A -frame

$$[\nabla_a^-, \nabla^{--}] = D_a^- D_b^+ A^{b(-3)} + \partial^{--} D_a^+ D_b^+ A^{b(-3)} - [D_a^+ D_b^+ A^{b(-3)}, D_c^+ A^{c(-3)}] = 0 \quad (12)$$

where the conventional constraint (7) and the representation (10) are used. This equation is treated as the basic dynamical equation of the SYM_6^1 -theory.

The AZC integrability condition is equivalent to component SYM_6^1 equations of motion. This can be proved in a normal gauge for the prepotential $A^{a(-3)}$ that contains an infinite number of harmonic auxiliary fields and the physical component SYM_6^1 fields: the vector $A_{ab}(x_A)$, the spinor $\psi_i^a(x_A)$ and the independent field-strength $F_b^a(x_A)$. All auxiliary fields vanish in a consequence of the AZC -equation, and the standard first-order component SYM_6^1 equations for F, A and ψ arise, too. It is evident that the A -frame and the V -frame are equivalent on-shell and have identical component solutions for the physical fields.

An alternative VZC -formulation of the HS -integrability condition in the A -frame has the following form:

$$[\nabla_a^+, \nabla^{++}] = D_a^+ V^{++}(A^{--}) = 0 \quad (13)$$

where the V -solution of HZC -equation (5) and the representation (10) should be used. This form of the dynamical SYM_6^1 -equation is equivalent to Eq(12). Below we shall discuss the HS -integrability condition for the gauge group $SU(2)$.

All known integrable field theories have an infinite number of conservation laws. The explicit construction of the conserved quantities follows immediately from the zero-curvature representation and has a clear geometric interpretation in terms of the contour variables . For example, the explicit constructions of the conservation laws in the twistor formalism of SYM_4^3 have been discussed in Ref[15].

Let us choose a time variable $t = x^{12}$ and introduce the corresponding covariant derivative

$$\nabla_t = \nabla_{12} = \partial_t + A_{12} = \partial_t + iD_1^+ D_2^+ A^{--} \quad (14)$$

The basic spinor AZC -equation (12) generates the important relation $[\nabla^{--}, \nabla_t] = 0$.

It should be stressed that the bridge h_A is a natural harmonic analogue of the contour variables in the zero-curvature representation. A covariant constancy of the bridge in all spinor and vector directions is a consequence of the dynamic analyticity equation (12), for instance, we have the on-shell condition of a covariant conservation $\nabla_t h_A = 0$ where the t -component of the CB -connection should be used, too. The simplest conserved quantities can be constructed as invariant functions of h_A by analogy with the integrable σ -models.

The Bäcklund transformations (BT) play an important role for integrable theories as transformations in the spaces of solutions. For the self-dual SYM -theories these transformations have been considered in the special gauge [16]. We shall discuss BT in the HS -formalism of SYM_6^1 .

Let A^{--} and $\hat{A}^{--}$ be two different solutions of SYM_6^1 -system (12). Consider the corresponding bridges h_A and $\hat{h}_{\hat{A}}$. Then the Bäcklund transformation between these solutions has the form of a nonanalytic gauge transformation with a gauge matrix $B(A, \hat{A}) = h_A \hat{h}_{\hat{A}}^{-1}$.

The HS -integrability interpretation allows us to analyze the explicit constructions of the SYM_6^1 -solutions by analogy with the harmonic formalism of $SDSYM$ [7] or SYM_3^6 [8] theories.

Let us go back to the V -frame and consider SYM_6^1 -system in the case of the gauge group $SU(2)$. We shall use a harmonic representation of the general $SU(2)$ prepotential [8]¹

$$V^{++} = (U^{+2}) b^0(\zeta, u) + (U^0) b^{(+2)}(\zeta, u) + (U^{-2}) b^{(+4)}(\zeta, u) \quad (15)$$

where b^0 , $b^{(+2)}$, $b^{(+4)}$ are arbitrary real analytic superfields and (U^q) are matrix generators of the Lie algebra $SU(2)$ in a harmonic representation

$$(U^{\pm 2})_k^i = u_k^\pm u^{\pm i}, \quad (U^0)_k^i = u_k^- u^{+i} + u_k^+ u^{-i} \quad (16)$$

We can obtain the simplest general gauge for the $SU(2)$ -prepotential using gauge transformations of the harmonic components $b^{(q)}$ [8]

$$V^{++}(b^0, \rho) = (U^{+2}) b^0(\zeta, u) + (U^{-2}) (\theta_+)^4 \rho \quad (17)$$

where b^0 is an arbitrary analytic function and ρ is some constant (a 'vacuum' field) which characterizes the choice of different phases in SYM_6^1 -theory. Remark that the corresponding harmonic-independent term in $b^{(+4)}$ contains a trace component of the auxiliary matrix scalar field with $d = -2$ and can be written as $D_{ik}^{ab}(x) = \rho + \partial^{ab} f_{ab}(x_A)$.

This (b^0, ρ) -gauge has the non-Abelian residual λ -gauge invariance with an arbitrary component $\lambda^{(-2)}$ and restricted components $\lambda^{(+2)}$, λ^0 [8].

The harmonic bridge equation (4) with the prepotential $V^{++}(b^0, \rho)$ can be integrated in quadratures. The integration procedure uses a nilpotency of the last term in Eq(17). We do not discuss here the explicit solution for $h(b^0, \rho)$ and restrict ourselves to the partial choice $\rho = 0$.

The phase of SYM_6^1 and SYM_4^2 with $\rho = 0$ has been considered in Refs[8, 20], and the harmonic V -frame equations have been solved in quadratures.

Now we shall discuss properties of the $SU(2)$ -solution in the alternative A -frame. The first step of this approach is a solution of harmonic equations in the representation (10) and then the dynamic analyticity equation should be solved.

Consider the A -frame solution of the bridge equation (11)

$$h_A = \exp[(1/2)(U^0) \ln(1 - a^0)][1 - (U^{+2}) a^{(-2)}] \quad (18)$$

$$a^0(z) = D_{(a}^+ D_{b)}^- A^{ab}(z), \quad a^{(-2)}(z, u) = D_a^+ A^{a(-3)}(z, u) \quad (19)$$

where parentheses denote a symmetrization of indices. The (U^q) components of the connection can be obtained from the formula $A^{--} = h_A \partial^{--} h_A^{-1}$. Note that this solution has the singular point² $a^0 = 1$.

This formula looks as some Ansatz in the A -frame which partially uses information from the V -frame. Nevertheless, this way produces an alternative method to analyze the general $SU(2)$ -solution in the $(b^0, 0)$ -gauge since all frames are equivalent on-shell.

The corresponding harmonic connection $V^{++}(A)$ has the following A -frame components:

$$b^0(A) = \frac{a^0 + \partial^{++} a^{(-2)}}{1 - a^0}, \quad b^{(+2)}(A) = 0, \quad b^{(+4)}(A) = 0 \quad (20)$$

¹An analogous representation was used also in Refs [17, 18] for the harmonic analysis of $SDYM$ -theory.

²This singularity corresponds to the irregular V -frame prepotential with $b^0 = -1$ [19]

The analyticity condition $D_a^+ b^0(A) = 0$ produces the dynamical equation of this approach. One can write this equation in a polynomial form

$$(1 + \partial^{++} a^{(-2)}) D_a^+ a^0 + (1 - a^0) D_a^+ \partial^{++} a^{(-2)} = 0 \quad (21)$$

Note that this one-component equation is covariant under the non-Abelian gauge transformations of the harmonic gauge. Thus, the SYM_6^1 -system of equations reduces to Eq(21) in the $(b^0, 0)$ -gauge. This reduction simplifies significantly the initial SYM_6^1 -system and gives the hope to obtain the explicit solutions of this problem.

The residual gauge invariance of the $(b^0, 0)$ -gauge can be fixed in the V -frame, and the function b^0 (17) can be written in terms of some $d = 2$ prepotential [20]. The classical action of SYM_6^1 in this gauge has the following form:

$$S(b) = \frac{1}{g^2} \int d^{14}z [\ln(1 + b(z)) - b(z)] \quad (22)$$

$$b(z) = \int b^0(z, u) = D_{(4)}^{iklm} V_{iklm}(z) \quad (23)$$

where $D_{(4)}^{iklm}$ is the 4-th order combination of D_a^i [13].

A spinor component of the gauge CB -superfield can be written also in terms of the single superfield $b(z)$ [20]

$$[A_a^l(z)]_i^k = \frac{1}{1 + b(z)} [\delta_i^l D_a^k b(z) - (1/2) \delta_k^i D_a^l b(z)] \quad (24)$$

The harmonic-superspace integrability of the SYM_6^1 -theory guarantees an analogous property of its $4D$ subsystem SYM_4^2 . Consider the representation (10) in the Euclidean version of SYM_4^2

$$A^{--}(z, u) = D_\alpha^+ A^{\alpha(-3)} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ \bar{A}^{\dot{\alpha}(-3)} \quad (25)$$

where the two-component $SU_L(2)$ and $SU_R(2)$ spinors are used.

The case $A^{\alpha(-3)} = 0$ corresponds to the general self-dual solution of SYM_4^2

$$W(A) = (\bar{D}^+)^2 A^{--} = 0 \quad (26)$$

The self-dual prepotential $\bar{A}^{\dot{\alpha}(-3)}$ satisfies also the nonlinear AZC -equation (12). Specific features of SYM_4^2 -solutions will be discussed elsewhere.

The effective quantum action of SYM_4^2 [12] can be rewritten in terms of $N = 2$ superfields. It seems natural to suppose that the classical HS -integrability of SYM_4^2 is connected with the remarkable quantum structure of this theory. An analysis of the quantum properties of the nonrenormalizable SYM_6^1 -theory is a more difficult problem. Note that the simplest harmonic gauge condition for the gauge group $SU(3)$ conserves the analytic components b_3^0 and $b_8^{(+2)}$ corresponding to the Cartan generators of $SU(3)$ and the constant ρ -term (17). The analogous harmonic gauges can be founded for any gauge group.

The integrable theory SYM_4^3 can be described in the framework of SYM_4^2 with the special hypermultiplet interactions [10]. An analogous construction exists for the SYM_6^2 -theory in terms of HS_6^1 -superfields. It seems useful to consider the A -frame HS -equations of more general interacting SYM -supergravity-matter systems. Any HS -integrable system can be reduced to the dynamical analyticity conditions and some solvable linear constraints. This formulation may help to build the explicit classical solutions and to study quantum solutions.

In conclusion one should stressed that the transition to the new A -frame of the HS -formalism allows us to prove the integrability of the SYM_6^1 - and SYM_4^2 -theories. We hope that a manifest supersymetry of the harmonic formalism, the HS -integrability and the use of the special harmonic gauges can simplify significantly the study of these theories.

The author would like to thank cordially E.A.Ivanov and V.I.Ogievetsky for stimulating discussions and critical remarks and C.Devchand, A.A.Kapustnikov, A.D.Popov, K.S.Stelle and M.A.Vasiliev for discussions. I am grateful to A.T.Filippov and the administration of LTP JINR for support and hospitality. This work is partially supported by ISF-grant RUA000 and INTAS-grant 93-127.

References

- [1] E.Witten, Phys.Lett. B77 (1978) 394
- [2] A.Galperin, E.Ivanov, S.Kalitzin, V.Ogievetsky and E.Sokatchev, Phys. Lett. 151B (1985) 215
- [3] E.Witten, Nucl.Phys. B266 (1986) 245
- [4] B.M.Zupnik, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44 (1986) 512
- [5] E.Sokatchev, Phys.Lett. B217 (1989) 489
- [6] A.Galperin, E.Ivanov, V.Ogievetsky and E.Sokatchev, Ann.Phys. 185 (1988) 1
- [7] C.Devchand and V.Ogievetsky, Phys.Lett. B297 (1992) 93
- [8] B.M.Zupnik, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 48 (1988) 744; Phys. Lett. B209 (1988) 513
- [9] A.Galperin, E.Ivanov, S.Kalitzin, V.Ogievetsky and E.Sokatchev, Class. Quant. Grav. 1 (1984) 469
- [10] A.Galperin, E.Ivanov, V.Ogievetsky and E.Sokatchev, Class. Quant. Grav. 2 (1985) 601
- [11] P.S.Howe, K.S.Stelle and P.C.West, Class. Quant. Qrav. 2 (1985) 815
- [12] N.Seiberg and E.Witten, Nucl.Phys., B426 (1994) 19
- [13] J.Koller, Nucl. Phys. B222 (1983) 319
- [14] P.S.Howe, G.Sierra and P.K.Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B221 (1983) 331
- [15] C.Devchand, J.Math.Phys. 30 (1989) 2978
- [16] C.Devchand and A.Leznov, Comm.Math.Phys., 160 (1994) 551
- [17] S.Kalitzin and E.Sokatchev, Class. Quant. Grav. 4 (1987) L173
- [18] O.Ogievetsky, Springer Lect. Notes in Phys. 313 (1988) 548
- [19] E.Ivanov, Phys.Lett. B205 (1988) 499
- [20] B.M.Zupnik and L.V.Tolstonog, Teor. Mat. Fiz., 80 (1989) 391